CETIFICATION

SDG No:

JC18516

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Accutest, Florida

Site:

BMS, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Groundwater and soil samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken April 14, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for the ABN TCL Special List and for TCL pesticides list that reported the data under SDG No.: JC18516. Accutest Laboratory of Orlando, Florida analyzed for low molecular weight alcohols (LMWA) that also reported the data under SDG No.: JC18516. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
	DESCRIPTION		
JC18516-1	EB041416	AQ – Equipment Blank	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18516-1A	EB041416	AQ – Equipment Blank	LMWA
JC18516-2	RA14(9-9.7)	Soil	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18516-2A	RA14(9-9.7)	Soil	LMWA
JC18516-3	S-43S (6-7)	Soil	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18516-3A	S-43S (6-7)	Soil	LMWA
JC18516-4	RA14_GWS	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18516-4A	RA13_GWS	Groundwater	LMWA

el Infante

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 14, 2016

Report of Analysis

Ву

LK

04/18/16

Client Sample ID: EB041416 Lab Sample ID: JC18516-1

File ID

P104263.D

Matrix: Method:

Project:

AQ - Equipment Blank

DF

1

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Analyzed

04/18/16

Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: n/a

OP93128

Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch**

EP4590

Run #1 Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1 950 ml 1.0 ml

Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.86	սց/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.3	0.94	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.1	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.3	2.6	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.3	1.0	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.5	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.97	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.20	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.1	0.22	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	00110
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.1	0.47	ug/l	OF ASUCIADO DE
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.3	0.30	ug/l	1 340
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.21	ug/l	State Inc. 122
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	Minutes 50
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	Vicingez S
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l	Mendez H 1888
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	COLICENCIADO
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.43	ug/l	COLICENCIA
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.48	ug/l	LICEN
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.3	0.36	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l	

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: EB041416 Lab Sample ID: JC18516-1

Matrix:

AQ - Equipment Blank Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16 Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

ABN ICE	Special List					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDŁ	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.26	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.58	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.50	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.1	0.53	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.52	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.28	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.23	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	ND	2.1	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.34	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.52	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	2.9	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/I	
90-12-0	I-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.28	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.29	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.41	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.46	ug/l	SE ASOCIADO DE PLAN
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	OF BOOKED DED
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.1	0.51	ug/l	TE.
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l	tael Infante
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	Mendez 8
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	H = 1888
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	MICO LICENCIADO
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	73%		14-8	8%	
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	52%			10%	
		M M / IJ		10-1	2070	

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E - Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Matrix:

Method:

Project:

4

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: EB041416 Lab Sample ID: JC18516-1

i**pic ID**: JC18516-AO - Fau

AQ - Equipment Blank SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	78%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	101%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	98%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	103%		10-126%



ND - Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Page 1 of 1

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: EB041416 Lab Sample ID: JC18516-1

Matrix:

AQ - Equipment Blank

Method: Project:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

					*		
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4M64831.D	1	04/19/16	LK	04/18/16	OP93128A	E4M2886

Run #2

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	ND ND	0.11 0.11	0.031 0.051	ug/l ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limit	E
41.0E 00 0	NI: L	1000/			501

4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 109% 24-125% 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 87% 19-127% 1718-51-0 Terphenyl-d14 105% 10-119%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: EB041416

Lab Sample ID: JC18516-1

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank Method: SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 1G122262.D 1 04/19/16 BP 04/18/16 OP93156 G1G3966

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume

Run #1 300 ml 2.0 ml

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0038	ug/l
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0030	ug/l
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0067	0.0019	ug/l
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0024	ug/l
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0067	0.0041	ug/l
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0067	0.0035	ug/l
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0067	0.0029	ug/l
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/I
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0067	0.0044	ug/l
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.013	0.0038	ug/l
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.17	0.12	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	84%		26-13	32%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	83%		26-13	32%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	80%		10-13	18%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	69%		10-1	18%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank





Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	EB041416		
Lab Sample ID:	JC18516-1A	Date Sampled:	04/14/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Date Received:	04/16/16
Method:	SW846 8015C	Percent Solids:	n/a

Project:	BMSMC, Buildin	g 5 Area, PR					
Run #1 ª Run #2	File ID DF XY064036.D 1	Analyzed 04/26/16	By AFL	Prep D n/a	ate	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2768
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
111-27-3	Hexanol	86%		73-1	23%		

(a) Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.





MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Page 1 of 3

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA14 (9-9.7)

Lab Sample ID: JC18516-2 Matrix: SO - Soil

Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3546 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids:

76.5

Analytical Batch File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch M123854.D 04/23/16 SD OP93218 EM5235 1 04/20/16

Run #1 Run #2

> Initial Weight Final Volume

Run #1 30.5 g 1.0 ml

Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	86	32	ug/kg	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	210	39	ug/kg	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	210	34	ug/kg	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	210	78	ug/kg	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	210	190	ug/kg	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	210	81	ug/kg	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	86	62	ug/kg	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	86	41	ug/kg	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	210	40	ug/kg	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	430	73	ug/kg	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	210	100	ug/kg	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	86	32	ug/kg	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	210	40	ug/kg	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	210	39	ug/kg	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	210	35	ug/kg	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	43	40	ug/kg	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	43	4.5	ug/kg	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	210	7.3	ug/kg	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	43	3.7	ug/kg	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	86	18	ug/kg	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	43	8.3	ug/kg	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	43	9.1	ug/kg	BE ASOCIADO DE P
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	43	8.8	ug/kg	ASOCIADO DE
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	43	13	ug/kg	BILL
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	43	9.6	ug/kg	fact Infante
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	86	9.8	ug/kg	Mendez
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	86	23	ug/kg	H = 1888
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	86	7.9	ug/kg	The state of the s
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	210	11	ug/kg	11/10
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	86	6.1	ug/kg	MICO LICENCIA
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	210	11	ug/kg	LIGE
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	86	4.8	ug/kg	

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



. -----

Client Sample ID: RA14 (9-9.7)

Lab Sample ID:

JC18516-2

Matrix: Method:

Project:

SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: 76.5

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	86	28	ug/kg	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	43	6.9	ug/kg	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	86	9.7	ug/kg	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	86	18	ug/kg	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	86	9.8	ug/kg	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	86	8.1	ug/kg	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	43	8.1	ug/kg	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	43	11	ug/kg	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	86	28	ug/kg	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	43	15	ug/kg	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	86	6.0	ug/kg	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	86	5.1	ug/kg	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	86	5.8	ug/kg	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	86	5.4	ug/kg	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	86	6.1	ug/kg	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	86	15	ug/kg	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	43	5.2	ug/kg	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	43	5.1	ug/kg	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	86	8.4	ug/kg	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	43	11	ug/kg	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	430	68	ug/kg	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	210	14	ug/kg	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	43	22	ug/kg	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	86	8.0	ug/kg	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	86	7.0	ug/kg	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	86	8.0	ug/kg	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	210	9.7	ug/kg	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	210	12	ug/kg	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	210	14	ug/kg	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	86	14	ug/kg	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	86	13	ug/kg	20100
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	210	23	ug/kg	SOCIADODE
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	43	4.8	ug/kg	Will Street
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	43	5.4	ug/kg	to dead Infanta
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	210	10	ug/kg	tael Infante Viendez It = 1888
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lii	nits	
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	69%		30-	-106%	MCO LICENCIED
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	71%		30	-106%	

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL - Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank





Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA14 (9-9.7) JC18516-2 Lab Sample ID: SO - Soil Matrix:

SW846 8270D SW846 3546 Method: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Project:

04/14/16 Date Sampled: 04/16/16 Date Received: Percent Solids: 76.5

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6 4165-60-0	2,4,6-Tribromophenol Nitrobenzene-d5	80% 71%		24-140% 26-122%
321-60-8 1718-51-0	2-Fluorobiphenyl Temberyl-d14	72% 80%		36-112% 36-132%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



91-20-3

CAS No.

4165-60-0

321-60-8

1718-51-0

Naphthalene

Surrogate Recoveries

Nitrobenzene-d5

2-Fluorobiphenyl

Terphenyl-d14

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	SO - So SW846	6-2 il 8270D BY	SIM SW846 5 Area, PR	3546		Date		1/14/16 1/16/16 3.5
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 4M65049.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/02/16	By LK	Prep D 04/20/1		Prep Batch OP93218A	Analytical Batch E4M2900
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Weight 30.5 g	Final Vo	lume					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane a		21.5	4.3	0.86	ug/kg		

4.3

Run#2

0.52

Limits

15-138%

12-148%

10-157%

ug/kg

(a) Not accredited for this compound at the time of analysis, but all method requirements were followed.

ND

Run#1

98%

115%

86%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client	Sample ID:	RA14 (9-9.7)
Y -1 C	1- TT).	1010510 2

Lab Sample ID: JC18516-2 Matrix: SO - Soil

Method: SW846 8081B SW846 3546 Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16 Percent Solids: 76.5

Q

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 6G34406.D 04/25/16 ΒÞ 04/23/16 OP93322 1 G6G995

Run #2

Initial Weight Final Volume Run #1 10.0 ml 16.1 g

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.81	0.72	ug/kg
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.81	0.54	ug/kg
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.81	0.50	ug/kg
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.81	0.32	ug/kg
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.81	0.37	ug/kg
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.81	0.43	ug/kg
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.81	0.62	ug/kg
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.81	0.64	ug/kg
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.81	0.30	ug/kg
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.81	0.27	ug/kg
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.81	0.31	ug/kg
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.81	0.29	ug/kg
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.81	0.46	ug/kg
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.81	0.60	ug/kg
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.81	0.27	ug/kg
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.81	0.77	ug/kg
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.81	0.67	ug/kg
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.81	0.34	ug/kg
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	1.6	0.45	ug/kg
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.81	0.43	ug/kg
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	20	14	ug/kg

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	99%		24-136%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	98%		24-136%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	92%		10-153%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	92%		10-153%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sam Lab Sampi Matrix: Method: Project:					Date	Received: 0	4/14/16 4/16/16 6.5
Run #1 ª Run #2	File ID DF XY064056.D 1	Analyzed 04/27/16	By AFL	Prep D n/a	ate	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2770
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Weight Final Ve 4.87 g 10.0 ml	Diume					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	13	2.7	mg/kg		
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.7	mg/kg		
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.7	mg/kg		
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.7	mg/kg		
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.7	mg/kg		
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	13	2.7	mg/kg		
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
111-27-3	Hexanol	119%		69-1	.21%		

(a) Sample was received in a bulk container but was not preserved within 48 hours of sampling. Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.



MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



ND = Not detected

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: S-43S (6-7) Lab Sample ID: JC18516-3 Matrix:

SO - Soil Method:

SW846 8270D SW846 3546

Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16 Percent Solids: 77.6

Q

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 M123913.D 1 04/26/16 AN 04/20/16 OP93218 EM5238

Run #2

Project:

Initial Weight **Final Volume** Run #1 30.7 g 1.0 ml

Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	84	31	ug/kg
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	210	38	ug/kg
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	210	34	ug/kg
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	210	77	ug/kg
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	210	180	ug/kg
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	210	80	ug/kg
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	84	61	ug/kg
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	84	40	ug/kg
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	210	39	ug/kg
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	420	71	ug/kg
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	210	100	ug/kg
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	84	31	ug/kg
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	210	39	ug/kg
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	210	38	ug/kg
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	210	34	ug/kg
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	42	40	ug/kg
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	42	4.4	ug/kg
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	210	7.1	ug/kg
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	42	3.6	ug/kg
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	84	17	ug/kg
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	42	8.1	ug/kg
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	42	8.9	ug/kg
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	42	8.6	ug/kg
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	42	13	ug/kg
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	42	9.4	ug/kg
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	84	9.6	ug/kg
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	84	23	ug/kg
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	84	7.8	ug/kg
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	210	10	ug/kg
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	84	6.0	ug/kg
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	210	11	ug/kg
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	84	4.7	ug/kg



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: S-43S (6-7)

Lab Sample ID: JC18516-3
Matrix: SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16 Percent Solids: 77.6

Q

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	84	27	ug/kg
	Chrysene	ND	42	6.8	ug/kg
	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	84	9.5	ug/kg
	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	84	18	ug/kg
	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	84	9.6	ug/kg
	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	84	7.9	ug/kg
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	42	7.9	ug/kg
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	42	11	ug/kg
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	84	27	ug/kg
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	42	15	ug/kg
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	84	5.8	ug/kg
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	84	5.0	ug/kg
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	84	5.7	ug/kg
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	84	5.3	ug/kg
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	84	6.0	ug/kg
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	84	15	ug/kg
	Fluoranthene	ND	42	5.1	ug/kg
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	42	5.0	ug/kg
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	84	8.3	ug/kg
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	42	11	ug/kg
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	420	67	ug/kg
	Hexachloroethane	ND	210	14	ug/kg
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	42	22	ug/kg
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	84	7.8	ug/kg
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	84	6.8	ug/kg
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	84	7.8	ug/kg
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	210	9.5	ug/kg
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	210	12	ug/kg
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	210	14	ug/kg
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	84	13	ug/kg
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	84	12	ug/kg
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	210	22	ug/kg
	Phenanthrene	ND	42	4.7	ug/kg
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	42	5.2	ug/kg
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	210	10	ug/kg
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts



ND = Not detected

367-12-4

4165-62-2

MDL = Method Detection Limit

73%

75%

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

2-Fluorophenol

Phenol-d5

J = Indicates an estimated value

30-106%

30-106%

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Project:

Report of Analysis

 Client Sample ID:
 S-43S (6-7)

 Lab Sample ID:
 JC18516-3

 Matrix:
 SO - Soil

 Method:
 SW846 8270D

SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16 Percent Solids: 77.6

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	91%		24-140%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	79%		26-122%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	77%		36-112%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	86%		36-132%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	SO - So SW846	6-3 il 8270D BY	' SIM SW846 g 5 Area, PR	i 3546	D		4/14/16 4/16/16 7 ₋ 6
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 4M65050.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/02/16	By LK	Prep Date 04/20/16	Prep Batch OP93218A	Analytical Batel E4M2900
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Weight 30.7 g	Final Vo	dume				
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL Uni	ts Q	

1,4-Dioxane a 123-91-1 4.2 0.84 ug/kg 25.4 91-20-3 4.2 0.51 Naphthalene ND ug/kg CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run# 2 Limits 4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 102% 15-138% 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 112% 12-148% 1718-51-0 100% 10-157% Terphenyl-d14

(a) Not accredited for this compound at the time of analysis, but all method requirements were followed.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-43S (6-7)

Lab Sample ID: JC18516-3 Matrix: SO - Soil

Method: SW846 8081B SW846 3546 Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16 Percent Solids: 77.6

Q

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Project:

Analytical Batch File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch 6G34407.D 04/25/16 OP93322 G6G995 Run #1 BP 04/23/16

Run #2

Initial Weight Final Volume Run #1 15.2 g 10.0 ml

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.85	0.76	սց/kg
319-84-6					
	alpha-BHC	ND	0.85	0.57	ug/kg
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.85	0.52	ug/kg
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.85	0.33	ug/kg
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.85	0.39	ug/kg
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.85	0.45	ug/kg
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.85	0.65	ug/kg
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.85	0.66	ug/kg
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.85	0.31	ug/kg
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.85	0.28	ug/kg
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.85	0.32	ug/kg
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.85	0.30	ug/kg
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.85	0.48	ug/kg
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.85	0.63	ug/kg
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.85	0.28	ug/kg
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.85	0.80	ug/kg
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.85	0.70	ug/kg
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.85	0.35	ug/kg
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	1.7	0.47	ug/kg
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.85	0.45	ug/kg
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	21	15	ug/kg

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	94%		24-136%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	94%		24-136%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	87%		10-153%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	83%		10-153%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Page 1 of 1

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID:	S-43S (6-7)		
Lab Sample ID:	JC18516-3A	Date Sampled:	04/14/16
Matrix:	SO - Soil	Date Received:	04/16/16
Method:	SW846 8015C MOD	Percent Solids:	77.6
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #1 ª Run #2	File ID XY064057.D		Analyzed 04/27/16	By AFL	Prep D n/a	ate	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2770
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Weight 5.08 g	Final Volu 10.0 ml	me					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	13	2.5	mg/kg	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.5	mg/kg	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.5	mg/kg	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.5	mg/kg	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	13	2.5	mg/kg	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	13	2.5	mg/kg	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
111-27-3	Hexanol	120%		69-1	21%	

⁽a) Sample was received in a bulk container but was not preserved within 48 hours of sampling. Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	RA14_GWS
Lab Sample ID:	JC18516-4

Matrix: Method: JC18516-4 AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Kdif #2 1 104203.D 100 04/13/10 ER 04/10/10 0F33128 FP4392	Run #1 Run #2	File ID P104264.D P104289.D	DF 1 100	Analyzed 04/18/16 04/19/16	By LK LK	Prep Date 04/18/16 04/18/16	Prep Batch OP93128 OP93128	Analytical Batch EP4590 EP4592
--	------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	900 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2	900 ml	1.0 ml

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.6	0.91	ug/l
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.6	0.99	ug/l
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.2	1.4	ug/l
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.6	2.7	ug/l
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.7	ug/l
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.6	1.4	ug/l
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.2	0.99	ug/l
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.2	0.98	ug/l
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.6	1.1	ug/l
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.3	ug/l
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	5.6	1.5	ug/l
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.2	0.44	ug/l
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.6	1.6	ug/l
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.6	1.5	ug/l
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.6	1.0	ug/l
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.21	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.15	ug/l
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.2	0.23	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.2	0.50	ug/l
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.6	0.32	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1:1	0.23	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.38	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.2	0.45	ug/l
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.51	ug/l
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.2	0.26	ug/l
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.6	0.38	ug/l
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.25	ug/l



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

4

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA14_GWS Lab Sample ID: JC18516-4 Matrix: AQ - Ground

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/14/16 Date Received: 04/16/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Q

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.2	0.72	ug/l
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.20	ug/l
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.2	0.31	ug/l
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.2	0.28	ug/l
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.2	0.45	ug/l
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.2	0.41	ug/l
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.61	ug/l
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.53	ug/l
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.2	0.56	ug/l
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	2900 a	110	73	ug/l
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.37	ug/l
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.6	0.24	ug/l
84-74-2	Di-π-butyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.55	ug/l
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.26	ug/l
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.29	ug/l
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.24	ug/l
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.2	1.8	ug/l
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.55	ug/l
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	3.1	ug/l
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.2	0.43	ug/l
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND .	1.1	0.37	ug/l
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.2	0.31	ug/l
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.29	ug/l
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.6	0.31	ug/l
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.6	0.43	ug/l
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.6	0.49	ug/l
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.2	0.71	ug/l
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.2	0.53	ug/l
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.6	0.25	ug/l
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.2	0.41	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	78%	0% b	14-8	8%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E - Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA14_GWS Lab Sample ID:

JC18516-4 AQ - Ground Water Date Sampled: Date Received: 04/16/16

04/14/16

Matrix: Method: Project:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	57%	0% b	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	80%	0% b	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	104%	0% b	32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	101%	0% և	35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	101%	0% Ի	10-126%

(a) Result is from Run# 2

(b) Outside control limits due to dilution.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E - Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	RA14_GWS
Lab Sample ID:	TC18516-4

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

04/14/16 Date Sampled: Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: n/a

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4M64832.D	1	04/19/16	LK	04/18/16	OP93128A	E4M2886

Run #2

Project:

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	900 ml	1.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.11	0.033	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	112%		24-17	25%	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	93%		19-12	27%	
1718-51-0	Ternhenyl-d14	108%		10-11	9%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA14_GWS Lab Sample ID:

JC18516-4 AQ - Ground Water Date Sampled: 04/14/16

Matrix: Method:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

Date Received: 04/16/16

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Analyzed

04/19/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1

File ID DF 1G122263.D

Ву Prep Date BP 04/18/16

Prep Batch OP93156

Analytical Batch G1G3966

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume

Run #1

 $2.0 \, ml$

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

300 ml

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0038	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0030	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0067	0.0019	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0024	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0067	0.0041	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0067	0.0035	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0067	0.0029	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0067	0.0044	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.013	0.0038	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.17	0.12	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	57%		26-13	32%	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	59%		26-13	32%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	59%		10-1	18%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	50%		10-1	18%	

tael Infante Mendez 1888

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA14_GWS Lab Sample ID: JC18516-4A

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Method: SW846 8015C

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

04/14/16 Date Sampled: Date Received: 04/16/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1 ª Run #2	File ID DF XY064037.D 1	Analyzed 04/26/16	By AFL	Prep D n/a	ate	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2768
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoverie	es Run#1	Run#	2 Lim	its		
111-27-3	Hexanol	111%		73-1	123%		

(a) Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

		IN OF CUSTODY 50S Accinent - Dayton Rosec 13d, Dayton, NJ 08810 5-04200 FAX: 733-379-3499-3480 www.accinents.com	301219535824	PAGE OF OF
		Mary No.		TC 18516
Anderson Mulholland Assoc. Lac.	BM5 Release	Assessment		DMY - Drowleys Wases
2700 Westchester	Stream			OW - Ground West WW - Water SW - Surface Water
Purchase NY	Humacao PR	Billing Information (If gillproot from Papers by Company Harro		SO - Sot St - Shripe SED-Sedmon
Terry Taylor	Project B	Smoot Address	न व श शहर	CI+On LIG-Orentaped
911-251-0100	Charle Purchase Crear 8	Cay Same	Method Me	AIR = Air SCL - Other Song W P - Wyse FB-Font Bench
Cerry Taylor Nester, Rivera	Princit Manager Cultura	Attorions	M MA - V	ES-Equipment Blank Ris-Rende Blank TS-Trip Blank
Field ID / Point of Collection	MEDICO VIII P Dam Time	E-manus of manus C of the Color	SVoc Pestic	LAB USE ONLY
1 80041416	¥ 1/14/16 1150	NR AG POT		Ely
2 RAIA (9-9.7)	4/4/16 1500		T X X X X	V108
1 2 3 3 5 6 7 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NR 50 2	XXXX	FSI
4 RA14-6WS	¥ 4/H/16 1700	TT AQ 7	XXXX	
		┍┈╂┈╏┈╏╏╏╏	INITIA	LASESSMENT 3/10
			 	VERIFICATION
Transfer Terror Transfer Co.			┍╇┋╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒	╁┼┼┼┼┼┼
Tambrood Tono (Business days)	Approved By (2015 Automate Prop.) Butter	Commercial 'A' (Level 1) NY)	SP CAMPAY & AID TO REGO	rts /- Methyl nanhthalene
1 Day Russes			with sy	OC by Method 8270D
Employ & Rean Tax down passent the Lucas		All Date of Kinama County Protected Reports Commercial "A" = Results Cirty, Commercial "S" = Results	* * UC Sulminary	y per for Pest on 4/18/2
Market Briefly Market Briefly	Sample Custody must be docume	NJ Resisted * Resists * QC Sureney * Pariel Raw pe relad below each time complex change present	 Sample inventory is a sea, including courier delivery. 	retrified upon receipt in the Laboratory
· Nathana A15/N		2 F	× +16116.45	
3	Received By:	Andread Op.	Comp Total:	Baseland By:
S Topic Time:	S S	450	trans Properties where application	Chatte Count Town 2.3 C-

JC18516: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC18516

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Analysis:

SW846-8015C

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) groundwater samples and one (1) soil sample were analyzed for the low molecular weight alcohols (LMWAs) list following method SW846-8015C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. All samples properly preserved except samples JC18649-2A and JC18649-3A (Soil) that was not preserved within 48 hours of sampling. Results qualified as estimated (J) in the affected

sample.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1898

Signature:

May 14, 2016

Date:

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC18516-1A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	υ	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC18516-2A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/14/2016

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	13	mg/Kg	1.0	-	נט	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	13	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	13	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	13	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	13	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Methanol	13	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC18516-3A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/14/2016

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	•	ບາ	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Methanol	12	mg/Kg	1.0		UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC18516-4A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/14/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

	Project NumberJC 105 10
	Date:04/14/2016
	Shipping Date:04/15/2016
	EPA Region:2
REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGINAL REVIEW ORGINAL REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGINAL REVIEW ORGINAL REV	were created to delineate required validation professional judgment to make more informed. The sample results were assessed according to ving order of precedence: "Test Methods for W-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," criteria and data validation actions listed on the ment, unless otherwise noted. data package received has been mmarized. The modified data review for VOCs
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC18516 No. of Samples:4	_ Sample matrix:Groundwater/Soil -
Trip blank No.:	
Field blank No.:	
Equipment blank No.: JC18516-1	
Field duplicate No.:	
	
X Data Completeness	X Laboratory Control Spikes
X Holding Times	X Field Duplicates
N/A_ GC/MS Tuning	X Calibrations
N/A_ Co/Mo Fulling N/A_ Internal Standard Performance	X Compound Identifications
X Blanks	•
	X Compound Quantitation
X Surrogate Recoveries	X Quantitation Limits
X Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Overall Comments:_Low_molecular_weight_alcohols_	_by_SW-846_8015C
Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data JJ- Estimated honderect Reviewer: Date: May 14, 2016	
Date:May_14,_2016/	

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
	A	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
-		

All criteria were met	X.	_
Cnteria were not met		
and/or see below		

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pН	ACTION						
			<u> </u>							
	All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. All samples properly									
preserved excep	preserved except sample JC18516-2A and JC18516-3A (Soil) that was not preserved within 48									
hours o	hours of sampling. Results qualified as estimated (J) in the affected sample.									
	73									
		<u> </u>								

<u>Criteria</u>

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH \leq 2, 4°C), no air bubbles.

Aqueous samples -7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4° C, no air bubbles. Soil samples -7 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 + 2 °C): 2.7°C

Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimates positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but < 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

		Criteria v	vere not met see below
GC/MS TUNING			
The assessment o standard tuning QC		determine if the sample instrume	ntation is within the
N/A_ The BFB p	erformance results were	reviewed and found to be within the	specified criteria.
N/A_ BFB tuning	was performed for every	y 12 hours of sample analysis.	
If no, use profession		nine whether the associated data s	hould be accepted,
List	the	samples	affected:
			

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	04/26/16
Dates of continuing calibrati	ion:_04/26/16 (initial);_04/26/16;_04/27/16
Dates of final calibration ver	rification:04/26/16;_04/27/16
Instrument ID number:	VOA5
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low
	•

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
				,

Note: Initial, continuing, and final calibration verifications meets method specific criteria.

Criteria

All RFs must be > 0.05 regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be \leq 15 % regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be \leq 20% regardless of method requirements for CCC.

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of \geq 0.995 has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of < 0.05, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD > 15%, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a % D > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has r < 0.995, estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL! MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
All_method	-			
Field/Equipmen	t/Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
				vith_this_data_packageNo_
			<u> </u>	200 Eq. (60)

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

VB. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene)

ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and \le AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

if the concentration is \geq SQL but \leq AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
					- 49
					ST COMMENT
	<u> </u>	-			= 15
					·
		l			
-00					
BATTER TO THE PARTY OF THE PART					

All criteria were met	X	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID		SURROGA	ATE COMPOUN	1D	ACTION
	Hexanol	DBFM	TOL-d8	8FB	
_All_surrogate_rec	overies_within	_laboratory_co	ontrol_limits		
				200	
QC Limits* (Aqueo	•				
LL_to_UL_		23to_	to_	to	
QC Limits* (Solid-L		04 45	4	1-	
LL_to_UL_		Z1to		to_	
QC Limits* (Solid-N	/leu)	4.0	4.	i.	
LL_(0_UL_					
1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dich	nloromethane-	d 4	TOI -c	d8 = Toluene-d8	ł
DBFM = Dibromofli		- -		Bromofluorobe	

QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.

* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 80 – 120 % for aqueous and 70 – 130 % for solid samples.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	UJ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%. If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC18516-4AMS/-1MSD Sample ID:JC18516-2AMS/-1MSD				Matrix/Level:Groundwater_ Matrix/Level:Soil_		
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	%R	=	QC LIMITS	ACTION	
	coveries_and_RPD_v	within_iab	oratory_	CONTOL_IIMIUS		

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

Actions:

					vere not met
VII. B MATRI	X SPIKE/MATRI	X SPIKE DUPLICA	ATE		
MS/MSD - Uns	spiked Compound	ds			
It should be no compounds in	ted that Region 2 the sample. A %F	2 SOP HW-24 doe RSD of < 50% has	es not specify a M therefore been u	IS/MSD criter tilized as prof	ia for the unspiked essional judgment.
If all target anal	lytes were spiked	I in the MS/MSD, t	his review eleme	nt is not applic	cable.
List the %RSD	of the compound	ls which do not me	eet the criteria.	10	
Sample ID: Matrix/Level/Unit					
COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.	% RSD	ACTION
	· · ·				
		STATE OF THE PARTY			
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		10		

^{*} If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).

^{*} If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met_	Χ
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? **Yes** or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
Recoveri	es_within_labor	ratory_control_limits		
			Next — So Ma	
		_		
1 44 4 4 4 4			——————————————————————————————————————	

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

		All criteria were metN/A Criteria were not met and/or see below
IX.	FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION	
	Sample IDs:	Matrix:

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. Suggested criteria: RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
			this data package. MS/ pratory and generally ac		recoveries RPD used to e control limits.
				-	

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

Actions:

All criteria were metN/A
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- * Area of +100% or -50% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- * Retention time (RT) within 30 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE	N
					65)
	100.00		and the second		
- State	S. S				
	-50 300 20				_

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -25%	IS AREA = -25 %	IS AREA > + 100%
		TO – 50%	
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetected results	R	UJ	ACCEPT

2. If a IS retention time varies more than 30 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

All criteria were met _	Χ
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	127

XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC18516-1

Hexanol

RF = 9630

[] = (828239)/(9630)

= 86.0 ppm OK

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

(R)

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		- Indiana and a second
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 62	
	The state of the s	
	- Section	
	1	

Percent Solids	(
List samples which have ≤ 5	0 % solids		
_			
			1000
		TO AN AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	
10 TO			

If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC18516

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8081B

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for selected pesticides following method SW846-8081B. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticide Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. No MS/MSD analyzed for aqueous matrix. LCS/LCSD used to assess accuracy. % recoveries within laboratory and guidance document performance criteria. No action taken.

2. No LCS/LCSD analyzed for solid/soil. MS/MSD used to assess accuracy. % recoveries within laboratory and guidance document performance criteria. No action taken.

3. No information of Florisil cartridge performance check included in data package. Florisil cartridges were used for sample preparation of soil samples. No qualification of the data, professional judgment.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 14, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC18516-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 14-Apr-16

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8081B

Analyte Name	Result	Linite	Dilution Factor	Lab Elac	Validation	Donombolo
·				Lau riag		Reportable
Aldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0067	ug/l.	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.013	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.17	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC18516-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 14-Apr-16

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 80818

WILTHOD	. 00015					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	1.6	ug/Kg	1	-	υ	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	20	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC18516-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 14-Apr-16

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 8081B

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.85	ug/Kg	1	10.00	U	Yes
alpha-Chiordane	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.85	ug/Kg	1	173	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.85	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.85	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.85	ug/Kg	1	*	υ	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.85	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.85	ug/Kg	1	•	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.85	ug/Kg	1	•	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	1.7	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.85	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	21	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC18516-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 14-Apr-16 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8081B

***************************************	00. 00015					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0067	ug/Ł	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	บ	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-l	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	υ	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.013	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.17	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

	Project/Case Number:JC18516 Sampling Date:April_14,_2016 Shipping Date:April_15,_2016 EPA Region No.:2
REVIEW OF PESTICIDE ORG	ANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assigned judgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedence Hallw-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticided data validation actions listed on the data review guidance document, unless otherwise noted.	sist the reviewer in using professional better serving the needs of the data of the USEPA data validation guidance szardous Waste Support Section SOP No. e Data Validation. The QC criteria and
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest reviewed and the quality control and performance data summa	data package received has been arized. The data review for VOCs included:
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC18516 No. of Samples:4	Sample matrix: _Groundwater/Soil
Trip blank No.:	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Overall Comments:TCL_pesticides_list_by_SW846-80	_X Laboratory Control Spikes _X Field Duplicates _X Calibrations _X Compound Identifications _X Compound Quantitation _X Quantitation Limits
Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect	
Reviewer: Rafuel Defaut Date:_ May_14,_2016	

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
4		
<u> </u>		
		My
R		
4		

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	ACTION

Preservatives:	_All_samples_extracted_and_analyzed_within_the_required_criteria	
		_

Criteria

Aqueous samples - seven (7) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Non-aqueous samples – fourteen (14) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 + 2 °C): 2.7°C - OK

Actions

Qualify aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding times, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.

- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

Qualify non-aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding time, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.
- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

	All criteria were met	X
Criteria	were not met see below	

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH WITH ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (GC/ECD) INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK (SECTIONS 1 TO 5)

1. Resolution Check Mixture

Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?

Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 60.0%?

Yes? or No?

Note:

If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

2. Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM) Resolution Criteria

Criteria

Is PEM analysis performed at the required frequency (at the end of each pesticide initial calibration sequence and every 12 hours)?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. If PEM is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is PEM % Resolution < 90%?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

	All cr	ileria	were	met	x_
Criteria	were	not r	net s	ee he	low

3. PEM 4,4'-DDT Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

4. PEM Endrin Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

All criteria were metX	_
Criteria were not met see below	

5. Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture Resolution -

Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?

Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 90.0%?

Yes? or No?

Note:

If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is mid-point individual standard mixture analysis performed at the required frequency (every 12 hours)?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. If the mid-point individual standard mixture analysis is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

All criteria were met _	X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

04/14/16

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Date of initial calibration:

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

04/01/16

	Dates of a Dates of a Dates of a Instrument	continui: final cali nt ID nur			
	WAU IX/LE	vei	Aqueous/	:OW	
ATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
					ment performance criteria. in at least one of the columns.

Criteria

Are a five point calibration curve delivered with concentration levels as shown in Table 3 of SOP HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015?

Yes? or No?

Actions

If the standard concentrations listed in Table 3 are not used, use professional judgment to evaluate the effect on the data

Criteria

Are RT Windows calculated correctly?

Yes? or No?

Action

Recalculate the windows and use the corrected values for all evaluations.

Criteria

Are the Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) of the CFs for each of the single component target compounds less than or equal to 20.0%, except for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC?

Yes? or No?

Are the %RSD of the CFs for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC less than or equal to 25.0%. Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for each of the Toxaphene peaks must be < 30% when 5-point ICAL is performed?

Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for the two surrogates (tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl) less than or equal to 30.0%.

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If the %RSD criteria are not met, qualify detects as estimated (J) and use professional judgment to qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the %RSD criteria are within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary

Continuing Calibration Checks

Criteria

Is the continuing calibration standard analyzed at the acceptable time intervals? Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If more than 14 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of either a PEM or mid-point concentration of the Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C), qualify all data as unusable (R).
- b. If more than 12 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of the last sample or blank that is part of the same analytical sequence, qualify all data as unusable (R).
- c. If more than 72 hours has elapsed from the injection of the sample with a Toxaphene detection and the Toxaphene Calibration Verification Standard (CS3), qualify all data as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0% for the PEM sample?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

Criteria

For the Calibration Verification Standard (CS3); is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0%? Yes? or No?

Action

Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

All criteria were met __X__ Criteria were not met and/or see below____

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria

is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4.4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contami	nation in the bla	anks below. Hig	h and low leveis blanks	must be treated separately.
CRQL concentr	ationN	/A		
Laboratory blan	ks			
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL! Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
	•			nit_of_0.01_and_0.001_ug/L
-				
Field/ <u>Equipmen</u>	<u>t</u> /Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL! Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
				p_blanks_analyzed_with_this
			4:	
	::::=::::=:::::=:::::::::::::::::::		7 7 7 7	

All criteria were met _	Χ_	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	_88	

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 μ g/L. The concentration of each target compound found in the method or field blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Data concerning the field blanks are not evaluated as part of the CCS process. If field blanks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate this data in a similar fashion as the method blanks.

Specific actions are as follows:

Blank Actions for Pesticide Analyses

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥CRQL	No qualification required
Method, Sulfur		< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
Cleanup, Instrument, Field, TCLP/SPLP	> CRQL	≥ CRQL and ≤ blank concentration	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U
		≥ CRQL and > blank concentration	No qualification required
	= CRQL	≤CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
				_	

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix:_Aqueou					
Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
JC18516-1 JC18516-4 OP93156-BS1 OP93156-BSD OP93156-MB1	1G122260.D 1G122261.D	84 57 77 77 92	83 59 77 78 91	80 59 74 88 108	69 50 67 78 95
Surrogate Compounds		Recove Limits	ery		
S1 = Tetrachion S2 = Decachlor	•	26-132 10-118			
(a) Recovery fro		(b) Red	covery fr	om GC signal #2	
Matrix:_Soil					
Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
JC18516-2 JC18516-3 OP93322-BS1 OP93322-MB1 OP93322-MS OP93322-MSD	6G34376.D 6G34381.D	99 94 93 92 108 86	98 94 92 93 108 86	92 87 103 95 110 90	92 83 90 90 105 87
Surrogate Compounds		Recove Limits	ery		
S1 = Tetrachloro-m-xylene S2 = Decachlorobiphenyl		24-136% 10-153%			
(a) Recovery from	om GC signal #1			(b) Red	covery from GC signal #2

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Note: Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits.

Actions:

- a. For any surrogate recovery greater than 150%, qualify detected target compounds as biased high (J+).
- b. Do not qualify non-detected target compounds for surrogate recovery > 150 %.
- c. If both surrogate recoveries are greater than or equal to 30% and less than or equal to 150%, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
- e. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- f. If low surrogate recoveries are from sample dilution, professional judgment should be used to determine if the resulting data should be qualified. If sample dilution is not a factor:
 - i. Qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
 - ii. Qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- g. If surrogate RTs in PEMs, Individual Standard Mixtures, samples, and blanks are outside of the RT Windows, the reviewer must use professional judgment to qualify data.
- h. If surrogate RTs are within RT windows, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- i. If the two surrogates were not added to all samples, MS/MSDs, standards, LCSs, and blanks, use professional judgment in qualifying data as missing surrogate analyte may not directly apply to target analytes.

Summary Surrogate Actions for Pesticide Analyses

	Action*			
Criteria	Detected Target Compounds	Non-detected Target Compounds		
%R > 150%	J+	No qualification		
30% < %R < 150%	No qualification			
10% < %R < 30%	J-	UJ		
%R < 10% (sample dilution not a factor)	J-	R		
%R < 10% (sample dilution is a factor)	Use professional judgment			
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment			
RT within RT window	No qualification			

* Use professional judgment in qualifying data, as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if a field blank was used for the MS and MSD, unless designated as such by the Region.

NOTE: For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

Sample ID:JC18834-10_MS/MSD			Matrix/Level:Soil			
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION	
_MS/MSD_analyzed_with_this_data_package;_%_recoveries_and_RPD_within_laboratory _control_limits						
	-				• "	_

Note: No MS/MSD analyzed for aqueous matrix; LCS/LCSD used to assess accuracy. % recoveries within laboratory and guidance document performance criteria.

Action

No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50 – 120
Heptachlor epoxide	50 – 150
Dieldrin	30 – 130
4,4'-DDE	50 – 150
Endrin Endrin	50 – 120
Endosulfan sulfate	50 – 120
trans-Chlordane	30 – 130
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)	30 – 150
Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	30 – 150

LC	S concentrations	:16.7_ug/Kg		
List the %R	R of compounds v	which do not meet the criteria	ì	
	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
				<u> </u>
		70 55		

Action

The following guidance is suggested for qualifying sample data for which the associated LCS does not meet the required criteria.

- a. If the LCS recovery exceeds the upper acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the LCS recovery is less than the lower acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. Use professional judgment to qualify data for compounds other than those compounds that are included in the LCS.
- d. Use professional judgment to qualify non-LCS compounds. Take into account the compound class, compound recovery efficiency, analytical problems associated with each compound, and comparability in the performance of the LCS compound to the non-LCS compound.
- e. If the LCS recovery is within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

Note: No solid matrix LCS/LCSD analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % recoveries used to assess accuracy. No action taken.

All criteria were met	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see belowN/A	

FLORISIL CARTRIDGE PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: Florisil cartridge cleanup is mandatory for all extracts.

Criteria

Is the Florisil cartridge performance check conducted at least once on each lot of cartridges used for sample cleanup or every 6 months, whichever is most frequent?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Criteria

Are the results for the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check solution included with the data package?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Note: If % criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of polar interferences and use professional judgment in qualifying the data as follows:

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is greater than 120% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- e. If the Percent Recovery of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check is greater than or equal to 5%, use professional judgment to qualify detected and non-detected target compounds, considering interference on the sample chromatogram.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for florisil cartridge performance check included in data package. Florisil cartridge used for sample extraction/clean-up. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were metN/A
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

GEL PERMEATION CHROMATOGRAPHY (GPC) PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: GPC cleanup is mandatory for all soil samples.

If GPC criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of high molecular weight contaminants; examine subsequent sample data for unusual peaks; and use professional judgment in qualifying the data. Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the laboratory chooses to analyze samples under unacceptable GPC criteria.

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, the non-detected target compounds may be suspect, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J).
- b. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify all non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and is less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the GPC calibration, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- e. If high recoveries (i.e., greater than 120%) were obtained for the pesticides and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the GPC cleanup analyses not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for performance of GPC cleanup included in data package. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Criteria:

- 1. Is Retention Times (RTs) of both of the surrogates and reported target compounds in each sample within the calculated RT Windows on both columns? Yes? or No?
- 2. Is the Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCX) RT ±0.05 minutes of the Mean RT (RT) determined from the initial calibration and Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) within ±0.10 minutes of the RT determined from the initial calibration?

 Yes? or No?
- 3. Is the Percent Difference (%D) for the detected mean concentrations of a pesticide target compound between the two Gas Chromatograph (GC) columns within the inclusive range of \pm 25.0 %?

 Yes? or No?
- 4. When no analytes are identified in a sample; are the chromatograms from the analyses of the sample extract and the low-point standard of the initial calibration associated with those analyses on the same scaling factor?

 Yes? or No?
- 5. Does the chromatograms display the Single Component Pesticides (SCPs) detected in the sample and the largest peak of any multi-component analyte detected in the sample at less than full scale.

 Yes? or No?
- 6. If an extract is diluted; does the chromatogram display SCPs peaks between 10-100% of full scale, and multi-component analytes between 25-100% of full scale? Yes? or No? N/A
- 7. For any sample; does the baseline of the chromatogram return to below 50% of full scale before the elution time of alpha-BHC, and also return to below 25% of full scale after the elution time of alpha-BHC and before the elution time of DCB?

 Yes? or No?
- 8. If a chromatogram is replotted electronically to meet these requirements; is the scaling factor used displayed on the chromatogram, and both the initial chromatogram and the replotted chromatogram submitted in the data package.

 Yes? or No?

Action:

- a. If the qualitative criteria for both columns were not met, all target compounds that are reported as detected should be considered non-detected.
- b. Use professional judgment to assign an appropriate quantitation limit using the following guidance:
 - If the detected target compound peak was sufficiently outside the pesticide RT Window, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQL) value.

- ii. If the detected target compound peak poses an interference with potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R).
- c. If the data reviewer identifies a peak in both GC column analyses that falls within the appropriate RT Windows, but was reported as a non-detect, the compound may be a false negative. Use professional judgment to decide if the compound should be included.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative all conclusions made regarding target compound identification.

- d. If the Toxaphene peak RT windows determined from the calibration overlap with SCPs or chromatographic interferences, use professional judgment to qualify the data.
- e. If target compounds were detected on both GC columns, and the Percent Difference between the two results is greater than 25.0%, consider the potential for coelution and use professional judgment to decide whether a much larger concentration obtained on one column versus the other indicates the presence of an interfering compound. If an interfering compound is indicated, use professional judgment to determine how best to report, and if necessary, qualify the data according to these guidelines.
- f. If Toxaphene exhibits a marginal pattern-matching quality, use professional judgment to establish whether the differences are due to environmental "weathering" (i.e., degradation of the earlier eluting peaks relative to the later eluting peaks). If the presence of Toxaphene is strongly suggested, report results as presumptively present (N).

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH/MASS SPECTROMETER (GC/MS) CONFIRMATION

NOTE: This confirmation is not usually provided by the laboratory. In cases where it is provided, use professional judgment to determine if data qualified with "C" can be salvaged if it was previously qualified as unusable (R).

Action:

- a. If the quantitative criteria for both columns were met (≥ 5.0 ng/ μ L for SCPs and ≥ 125 ng/ μ L for Toxaphene), determine whether GC/MS confirmation was performed. If it was performed, qualify the data using the following guidance:
 - i. If GC/MS confirmation was not required because the quantitative criteria for both columns was not met, but it was still performed, use professional judgment when evaluating the data to decide whether the detect should be qualified with "C".
 - ii. If GC/MS confirmation was performed, but unsuccessful for a target compound detected by GC/ECD analysis, qualify those detects as "X".

All criteria were metX_	_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

COMPOUND QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

op9332-ms (Matrix Spike)

HEPTACHLOR

RF = 1.104

[] = (7213)

(72132518)(50)/(127.3 x 10⁶) (1.104)

= 25.7 ppb

Ök

Action:

- a. If sample quantitation is different from the reported value, qualify result as unusable (R).
- b. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs from the diluted sample.
- c. Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original reporting form and substituting the data from the diluted sample.
- d. Results between the MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated (J).
- e. Results less than the MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified (U). MDLs themselves are not reported.
- f. For non-aqueous samples, if the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table).

Percent Moisture Actions for Pesticide Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action		
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds	
% Moisture < 70.0	No qualification		
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J	UJ	
% Moisture > 90.0	J	R	

st samples wh	ich have ≤ 50	% solids			
					-
				<u> </u>	

Note: If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.

Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		
Comment of the Commen		
		-826

All criteria were metN/A
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs:

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Matriv:

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. If large RPDs (> 50%) is observed, confirm identification of samples and note difference in the executive summary.

Campie				Widu IX.	
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
			th this data package. Ness precision. RPD with		ecoveries RPD and ired criteria of < 50 %.

Actions:

- a. Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.
- b. If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:
 - i. If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).
 - ii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iv. If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data.

Note: The Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) must be informed if any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

Overall assessment of the data: Results are valid; the data can be used for decision making purposes.

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC18516

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for the ABN TCL list following method SW846-8270D; Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane were also analyzed by SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 —Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings: Minor findings: None

1. Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not

meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of \pm 25 or 40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

2. 2-Flurophenol (surrogate) recovered above the control limit in MS/MSD QC samples, no action taken. Surrogates not recovered in samples JC18516-4 due to dilution, no action

taken.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

afail afait

Signature:

Date:

May 14, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC18516-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/14/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.1	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.3	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	5.3	ug/L	1	-	บ	Yes
Phenol	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/L	1.	2	Ü	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	ប	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Analyte Name	Result	Units [Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	บ	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
isophorone	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
METHOD:	•	•				
Naphthalene	0.11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Analyte Name

Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC18516-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/14/2016

Matrix: Soil

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	86	ug/Kg	1	•	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	υ	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinîtro-o-cresol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	430	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	5	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	86	ug/Kg	1	-	บ	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes

	Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	86	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	43	ug/Kg	1		υ	Yes
	Dibenzofuran	86	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Di-n-octyl phthalate	86	ug/Kg	1	177	U	Yes
	Diethyl phthalate	86	ug/Kg	1	~	U	Yes
	Dimethyl phthalate	86	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	86	ug/Kg	1	1	U	Yes
	Fluoranthene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Fluorene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Hexachlorobenzene	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Hexachlorobutadiene	43	ug/Kg	1	7	U	Yes
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	430	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
	Hexachloroethane	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	43	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
	Isophorone	86	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
	1-Methylnaphthalene	86	ug/Kg	1	*	U	Yes
	2-Methylnaphthalene	86	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
	2-Nitroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
	3-Nitroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	*	U	Yes
	4-Nitroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
	Nitrobenzene	86	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	86	ug/Kg	1	7	U	Yes
	Nitrosodiphenylamine	210	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
	Phenanthrene	43	ug/Kg	1	=	U	Yes
	Pyrene	43	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	210	ug/Kg	1	¥	U	Yes
	METHOD: 8	270D (SI	M)				
	Naphthalene	4.3	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
79	1,4-Dioxane	22	ug/Kg	1	-	-	Yes

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC18516-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/14/2016

Matrix: Soil

	ואובוחטט; מ	2/00					
	Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
	2-Chlorophenol	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,4-Dinitrophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2-Methylphenol	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	3&4-Methylphenol	84	ug/Kg	1	-	υ	Yes
	2-Nitrophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	4-Nitrophenol	420	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Pentachlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Phenol	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	210	ug/Kg	1	7.	U	Yes
	Acenaphthene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Acenaphthylene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Acetophenone	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Anthracene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Atrazine	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Benzo(a)anthracene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Benzo(a)pyrene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Butyl benzyl phthalate	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	1,1'-Biphenyl	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Benzaldehyde	20.2	ug/Kg	1	j	UJ	Yes
	2-Chloronaphthalene	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	4-Chloroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Carbazole	84	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
	Caprolactam	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	Chrysene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
	bis(2-Chioroethoxy)methane	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
5	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
			_				

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	84	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	42	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	42	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	84	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	420	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	84	ug/Kg	1	~	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	84	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	42	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	210	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
METHOD:	8270D (SI	M)				
Naphthalene	4.2	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	25.4	ug/Kg	1	-	Ü	Yes
		- · •				

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC18516-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/14/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.6	ug/L	1	2	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	IJ	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.2	ug/L	1		U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	2900	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.6	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1		U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/L	1		U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/L	1	2	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/L	1	14	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.2	ug/L	1	17	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	12	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/L	1	<u></u>	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.6	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.6	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	υ	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
METHOD: 8	•	-				
Naphthalene	0.11	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

Date:___May_14,_2016__

	Project Number:_JC18516 Date:_April_14,_2016
	Shipping Date:_April_15,_2016
	EPA Region: 2
	EFA Negion2
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE OR	GANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assigned judgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedent Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. Semivorand data validation actions listed on the data reviguidance document, unless otherwise noted.	ist the reviewer in using professional better serving the needs of the data g to USEPA data validation guidance e: EPA Hazardous Waste Support platile Data Validation. The QC criteria
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC18516 No. of Samples:4_Full_scan/4_SIM	Sample matrix:Groundwater/Soil
Trip blank No.:	
Field Diank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:JC18516-1	
Field duplicate No.:	
X Data Completeness	X Laboratory Control Spikes
X Holding Times	X Field Duplicates
X GC/MS Tuning	X Calibrations
X Internal Standard Performance	X Compound Identifications
X Blanks	X Compound Quantitation
X Surrogate Recoveries	X Quantitation Limits
X Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Overall Comments:_ABN_TCL_list_by_method_SW846-8270D_(SIM)	3270D;_Naphthalene_and_1,4-Dioxane_
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results	
U- Compound not detected	
R- Rejected data	
UJ- Estimated nondetect	
0 1 0 0 0 1	
Reviewer: Kafuel defaut	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
4		310-04-04-0
		
- W		
·		······
		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·		
		
		1
	*	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	рН	ACTION	
All samples extra	cted and analyzed w	vithin method recommended ho	olding 1	time.	

Cooler temperature	(Criteria: 4 + 2 °C):	2.7°C
--------------------	-----------------------	-------

Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

Action				
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
	No	≤7 days (for extraction) ≤40 days (for analysis)	Use profession	onal judgment
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	ບຸ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R
	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use profession	onal judgment
Non-Aqueous	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	ÜĴ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R

All criteria were metX Criteria were not met see below

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

- _X__ The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
- _X__ DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List	the	samples	affected:
_			

Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- 2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- 4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were met	Χ	_
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

INITIAL CALIBRATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

D	ate of initial calibration:_04/12-13/2016_(Scan)	04/15/16_(Scan)
ln.	strument ID numbers:GCMSM	GCMSP
M	latrix/Level:Aqueous/low	Aqueous/low
D	ate of initial calibration:04/14/16_(SIM)	
In	strument ID numbers:GCMS4M	
M	atrix/Level:Aqueous/low	

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
		***************************************	Initial calibration m	eets the required criteria.	

Note: Only the calibration curves used to analyze the samples corresponding to this data package are listed. Other calibration performed in different GC/MS systems were used for QC samples only. Analytes in the initial and initial calibration verifications not meeting the guidelines criteria in the QC samples will be listed and included in the executive narrative

Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Стиеги	Detect	Non-detect	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment	
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

Initial Calibration

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 2. RRF, \% RSD, and \% D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis \\ \end{tabular}$

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D¹ ± 50.0	
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0		
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20,0	±20.0	± 25.0	
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0	
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	±25.0	±25.0	
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
Naphthalene	0.200	20,0	±20.0	± 25.0	
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	

Initial Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ^t	Opening Maximum %D ¹ ± 50.0	
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0		
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	± 25.0	±25.0	
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
1,1'-Biphenyl	0,200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹	
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	±25.0	± 25.0	
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	±25.0	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0	
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	£ 25.0	
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0	
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	£ 20.0	± 25.0	
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D1	Opening Maximum %D ¹	
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0	
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	±30.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0		
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds						

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum %D
I,4-Dioxane-d ₈	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-d ₅	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-d ₈	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d ₁	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol-d ₈	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d₁	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	£ 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d ₅	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d₄	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene-d ₈	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d ₁₀	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Anthracene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Pyrene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂	0.010	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were met
Critena were not met
and/or see belowX

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of ini	tial calibration:	04/12-13/16_(Sc	an)	
Date of ini	tial calibration	verification (ICV):_04/	13/16	
Date of co	ntinuing calibr	ation verification (CCV	n: 04/20/16; 04/22/16; 04/2	5/16;_04/26/16
Instrumen	t ID numbers:	GCMSM		_
	_			
		•		
Date of ini	tial calibration:	04/15/16_(Scan)		
Date of ini	tial calibration	verification (ICV): 04/	15/16	
Date of co	ntinuing calibr	ation verification (CCV	/):04/18/16;_04/19/16_	•
Date of clo	osing CCV:	-`		
Instrumen	t ID numbers:_	GCMSP		
Matrix/Lev	rel:	Aqueous/low		
			And the second s	
DATE	LAB FILE	CRITERIA OUT	COMPOUND	SAMPLES
	ID#	RFs, %RSD, <u>%D,</u> r		AFFECTED
GCMS2P	- see list attac	hed		
GCMSM		1		
04/20/16	cc3649-25	-21 0	di-n-octylohthalate	OC sample

Note: Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of ± 40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

INSTRUMENT: GCMSP DATE: 04/18/16 FILE ID: cc4524-25

Compound	AvgRF	CCRF %	Dev
3&4-Methylphenol	1.233	1.483 -2	20.3#
Caprolactam	0.133	0.177 -3	3.1#
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.261	0.200 23	3.4#
2-Nitroaniline	0.304	0.381 -2	25.3#
3-Nitroaniline	0.305	0.395 -2	29.5#
4-Nitroaniline	0.306	0.387 -2	26.5#
Di-n-butylphthalate	1.228	1.628 -3	32.6#
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.619	0.857 -3	8.4#
Di-n-octylphthalate	25.000	32.769 -3	31.1#

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

INSTRUMENT: GCMSP DATE: 04/19/16 FILE ID: cc4524-25

Compound	AvgRF	CCRF %Dev
Phenol	1.753	2.121 -21.0#
Caprolactam	0.133	0.160 -20.3#
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.156	0.124 20.5#
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.261	0.167 36.0#
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	0.094	0.064 31.9#
Pentachlorophenol	50.000	37.638 24.7#
Di-n-butylphthalate	1.228	1.510 -23.0#
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.619	0.843 -36.2#
Di-n-octylphthalate	25.000	33.907 -35.6#
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1.138	1.378 -21.1#
Benzo[a]pyrene	1.004	1.209 -20.4#

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of init Date of co Date of clo	tial calibra ntinuing c osing CCV	ation v alibra /:	verification (ICV):_04/ ation verification (CCV):04/18/16;_04/19/16;	
IVIAU IX/Lev	'tı		Aqueous/low		
DATE	LAB F	ILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
				All D	

Note: Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of \pm 40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action		
Criteria for Opening CC4	Criteria for Chising CCV	Detect	Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	UJ	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL! Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_ana	alytes_detected	_in_method_bla	enks.	
Field/ <u>Equipmen</u>	<u>t</u> /Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
			nent_blank_analyzedl	No_field/trip_blanks_analyzed
110000				

All cnteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
(water) or 0.00 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or	≥ CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
			<u></u>		

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES - DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

No qualification

J+

No qualification

No qualification

Action Criteria Detect

Lower Acceptance limit ≤ %R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit

%R > Upper Acceptance Limit

Non-detect %R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower J-R acceptance limit) $10\% \le \%R$ (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower j. UJ acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix:Groundwater			
SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND	ACTION	
	ired_criteriaNon-deuterated_surrogates_added very_limits_except_for_the_followings:	_to_the_samples	
Surrogates_not_reco	vered_in_sample_JC18516-4_due_to_dilution;_n	o_action_taken	
2-Fluorophenol_outsi	de_upper_control_limits_in_MS/MSD;_no_action	_taken	

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

	1 and 8. Scinivolatile Divies and the Associated Target Analytes				
1,4-Dioxane-d ₈ (DMC-1)	Phenol-d _s (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d ₈ (DMC-3)			
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethy))ether			
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)			
		Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane			
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄ (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d ₄ (DMC-6)			
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline			
	3-Methylphenol	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene			
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine			
	2,4-Dimethylphenol				
Nitrobenzene-d ₅ (DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3(DMC-9)			
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol			
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachlorobutadiene			
Hexachloroethane	***	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene			
Nitrobenzene		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol			
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,6-Trichlorophenol			
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Trichlorophenol			
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	Till	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene			
		*Pentachlorophenol			
		2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol			
Dimethylphthalate-d. (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-ds (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d ₄ (DMC-12)			
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline			
1,1'-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline			
Dimethylphthalate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol			
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nitrophenol			
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline			
Butylbenzylphthalate					
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate					
Di-n-octylphthalate					

Fluorene-d ₁₀ (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d ₂ (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d ₁₀ (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene
*Fluorene		Atrazine
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether		*Phenanthrene
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether		*Anthracene
Carbazole		
Pyrene-d ₁₀ (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂ (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	
*Pyrene	*Benzo(b)fluoranthene	
*Benzo(a)anthracene	*Benzo(k)fluoranthene	
*Chrysene	*Benzo(a)pyrene	
	*Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	
	*Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
	*Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

^{*}Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

All criteria were met	Time.	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	XX	

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the

MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

	C18366-2_MS/MSD C18417-5_MS/MSD		-	Matrix/Le Matrix/Le	
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
JC18417-5MS/	MSD				
MSD	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	9%_		10113	No_action
	Benzaldehyde	272/18	B38_	20129/34	No_action
MS/MSDH	-lexachlorocyclopentadie	ene0/0	V-16 33-	10127	No_action

Note: No action taken, sample used for QC only and not part of the JC18516 data package.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

• —	8366-3_MS/MSD 8516-2_MS/MSD	. ,			_evel:_Groundwater _evel:Soil	
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION	
	*	****				

Note: MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within the laboratory and validation guidance document criteria. Phenol-d5 (surrogate) in samples JC18366-3 MS/MSD over upper control limit, no action taken.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal standard area counts meet the required criteria.

Action:

- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Cinena	Detect	Non-detect	
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R	
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	UJ	
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification	
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification	

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET CO	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
	re Retention Times (RRTs) of reported comp T [opening Continuing Calibration Verification on].	
List compoun	ds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
spectrum from	of the sample compound and a current labora in the associated calibration standard (opening nust match according to the following criteria: All ions present in the standard mass specially must be present in the sample spectru	CCV or mid-point standard from initial trum at a relative intensity greater than
b.	The relative intensities of these ions mustandard and sample spectra (e.g., for an standard spectrum, the corresponding sam 30-70%).	ust agree within $\pm 20\%$ between the ion with an abundance of 50% in the
C.	lons present at greater than 10% in the sar the standard spectrum, must be evaluated spectral interpretation.	
List compoun	ds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
_ldentified_co	ompounds_meet_the_required_criteria	

Action:

- The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires
 professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information
 from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all
 such data as unusable (R).
- Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

	-	_
101	- 1 1	Cs
151		

Sample ID	Compound	Sample 1D	Compound
		*	
	90 17		5.483070

Action:

- Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- 2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were met _	_X_	_
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		_

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action		
Crueria	Detects	Non-detects	
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
10.0% ≤ %Solids ≤ 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification	

SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Samp	le ID:	Blank_sp	ike_(SIM)	_ Analyte:Naphtahlene	RF:_2.251
	[]	=	(133242)(4)/(2	57964)(2.251)	
		=	0.918 ppm	Ok	

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
JC18516-4	100	1,4-Dioxane outside calibration range.
•		
		100000
	and the same	
	- 1 ST	
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	

All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below

Matrix:____Groundwater____

FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs:

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

____JC18516-1/JC18516-2___

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
RPD within the required criteria < 50 % for detected target analytes.					

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

OTHER ISSUES

d based on the degradation of system	performance during simple analysis:	
Comments	Actions	
mple analyses. Inform the Contract L	etermined that system performance has aboratory Program COR any action as a cantly affected the data.	
essment of Data		
d based on other issues:		
	dgment to qualify the data if it is do mple analyses. Inform the Contract Lands of system performance which significates the system performance which significates the contract the contrac	

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).
- Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
 - The analysis with the lower CRQL
 - The analysis with the better QC results
 - The analysis with the higher results